

Virginia's Budget Growth

*FY 1996 to FY 2006:
A Decade of Change*

Prepared by Staff of the Virginia Senate Finance Committee
September, 2007

Economic cycle and policy choices

- Virginia's budget picture at any point is influenced by the portion of a business cycle that it covers, along with policy choices.
 - The decade between FY 1996 and FY 2006 reflects a peak-to-peak business cycle.
 - FY 96 was the beginning of the "dot-com" run-up that produced double-digit revenue growth in late 1990's;
 - Slowed growth and recession followed in the middle of ten-year period;
 - Back to double-digit revenue growth in post-recession expansion.
 - The decade also reflects a number of policy changes:
 - "Rainy Day Fund" deposits
 - Parole reform
 - Welfare reform
 - Car Tax relief
 - K-3 education reform
 - Lottery profits shared with localities
 - 2004 tax reform

Budget Growth – All Funds

- Between FY 1996 and FY 2006, Virginia's population increased by almost a million people, or roughly 15 percent.
 - In recent years, Virginia has been the 11th fastest-growing state in the nation.
- Between FY 1996 and FY 2006, overall inflation was 33 percent.
- Over this ten-year period, Virginia's operating budget (all funds) grew 96 percent.
 - The inflation and population-adjusted growth was 28.8 percent, an average annual growth rate of 2.6 percent.
- When tracking Virginia's budget growth, it is more meaningful to focus on the general fund (GF) alone.

Growth in NGF can be misleading . . .

- Nongeneral funds (NGF) are fees or dedicated revenues collected for a specific purpose; they provide little budget flexibility because they can't be shifted to something else.
- Two thirds of NGFs come from:
 - Federal grants;
 - Research contracts;
 - College tuition;
 - Hospital fees.
- About 80 percent of NGFs are accounted for when unemployment payroll taxes and transportation taxes are added.
- Motivation is different with NGFs; in some cases, bigger is better:
 - Increased federal grants/reimbursements allow Virginians to benefit from the federal taxes they pay.
 - “Growing” research dollars has a positive impact on the economic health of the Commonwealth.

Growth in NGF can be misleading . . .

- Often, changes in the NGF budget are technical in nature:
 - State budget serves as a “pass-through” or “convenience” account.
 - Child support collections for non-welfare children - welfare reform increased collections from \$162 million in FY 96 to \$593 million in FY 06; state does not use the funds but acts as collection agent for custodial parent.
 - Tobacco Master Settlement agreement – 60 percent of the funds are collected by state and passed through to the TICR and Tobacco Settlement Foundation.
 - Unemployment compensation funds which rise and fall with the economic climate are held in trust for payments to the unemployed.
 - NGF accounting rules change, thereby creating artificial impression of growth.
 - VCCS federal financial aid payments were accounted for as “local” funds until recently and were not appropriated by the General Assembly; now, they are reflected in the state budget with a FY 06 amount of \$103.6 million.
 - Virginia Prepaid Education Program – Previously, the state budget has not reflected “savings account” payments made to colleges on behalf of participants; current budget includes almost \$100 million per year.
 - The MCV Hospital was included in the NGF budget in FY 96; today, it is an “off-books” independent authority.

Focus on the GF Budget

- Since growth in the NGF budget can be misleading and offers little budget flexibility, a budget growth analysis should focus on the GF budget.
 - GF is comprised of broad-based taxes, such as personal income, corporate income and sales tax (88 percent of GF).
 - Governor and General Assembly decide how funds are spent.

GF Budget Growth

- Between FY 1996 and FY 2006, Virginia's GF budget increased by 30.6 percent (adjusted for inflation and population), an average annual growth rate of 2.7 percent.
 - This translates to \$3.5 billion in "real" budget growth over the ten-year period.
 - This is the amount that needs to be analyzed to explain Virginia's budget growth.

What comprises the \$3.5 billion in “real” GF budget growth (FY 1996 vs. FY 2006)?

- **Specific policy choices (See Appendix) = \$2.9 billion**
 - Rainy Day Fund deposit - \$584.2 million
 - Parole abolition & sentencing reform - \$117.2 million: State responsible offender growth was twice the rate of overall population growth; therefore, a portion of the Corrections growth related to policy changes.
 - HB 599 funding for police departments: Removed the funding freeze - \$124.4 million
 - Car tax relief - \$737.2 million
 - K-12 Educational Opportunity Initiatives - \$95.2 million (K-3 reduced class size; At-risk 4's; Early Intervention Reading; school construction)
 - Lottery profits shared with localities - \$160.4 million
 - K-12 SOQ revisions (w/JLARC technical adj.) - \$336.7 million
 - Higher education – \$61.4 million: Financial aid & TAG increases to help offset rising tuition
 - Higher education - \$122.1 million: First step toward base adequacy
 - Chesapeake Bay “clean-up” - \$153.9 million
 - Higher debt service from increased use of bonded debt during recession - \$191.2 million
 - HHR initiatives - \$132.5 million (FAMIS child health care; Medicaid provider rate increases; MR waiver slots to reduce waiting lists)
 - Increased GFs for transportation - \$143.4 million
 - Miscellaneous – (\$72 million) – Net budget reduction from end of Harper retiree settlement payments, transfer of tobacco tax to Va. Health Care Fund and increase in sheriff deputy staffing standard to 1:1,500.

What comprises the \$3.5 billion in “real” GF budget growth (FY 1996 vs. FY 2006)?

- Beyond the \$2.9 billion for specific policy choices, inflation in two of the largest GF programs exceeded the “market basket” rate of overall inflation (CPI):
 - **Medical care inflation was over 50 percent higher than overall inflation = \$204 million**
 - Medical care inflation was 51 percent versus 33 percent for overall inflation; therefore, a portion of Medicaid costs were uncontrollable costs that exceeded the general inflation-adjusted numbers.
 - Virginia was under a federal court order to increase staffing and funding of its mental hospitals during the period.
 - **SOQ re-benchmarking increase above inflation and population-adjusted growth = \$471.2 million**
 - Re-benchmarking cost is heavily influenced by teacher salaries/fringe benefits.
 - Bureau of Labor Statistics reports that Employment Cost Index was 3.1 percent during the ten-year period, about ½ percentage point higher than CPI.
 - Teacher salaries in Virginia grew by an average of 3.0 percent per year, raising Virginia’s national rank from 27th to 20th.
 - This annual ½ percent increment in salary inflation above CPI explains part of the “real” re-benchmarking cost.

Summary

- After adjusting for inflation and population growth, Virginia's GF budget increased by \$3.5 billion over the ten-year period 1996 to 2006, an overall growth rate of 30.6 percent, or average annual growth rate of 2.7 percent.
- \$2.9 billion, or 82 percent of this "real" budget increase, is explained by specific policy changes primarily in education, health care, public safety and tax relief (See Appendix for details).
- Much of the remaining \$600 million is explained by inflation increases that were higher than the CPI.
 - Health care inflation was 55 percent higher than CPI;
 - Teacher salary increases reflected the Employment Price Index, which was ½ percentage point higher than CPI over the ten-year period.

Appendix - Details of Major Policy Changes

- Rainy Day Fund Deposits
 - Constitutionally-required deposit is made to the Rainy Day Fund for fiscal years that have revenue growth above a six-year average.
 - Half of the above-trend growth is deposited to the Fund in the second fiscal year after it is collected.
 - RDF provides a revenue cushion for economic downturns; half of a budget problem can be addressed through a RDF withdrawal.
 - \$715.2 million was withdrawn during the last recession.
 - Annual deposits are highly variable, with the largest deposit of \$584.2 million being made in FY 2006.
 - Large deposits in recent years have placed the Fund at its Constitutional maximum.
 - The RDF is projected to reach \$1.3 billion by the end of current biennium.

Appendix - Details of Major Policy Changes

- Parole Reform
 - In 1994 Special Session, the General Assembly abolished parole for certain crimes and enacted sentencing reform.
 - The Virginia Sentencing Commission developed guidelines for uniform sentencing.
 - Additional prison capacity was authorized.
 - \$273.0 million was spent under the 1994 plan to add 6,398 beds.
 - FY 2006 operating cost for these additional beds was \$117.2 million.

Appendix - Details of Major Policy Changes

- Welfare Reform
 - The 1995 General Assembly adopted welfare reform placing emphasis on work-related activities to foster independence.
 - 1st phase of welfare reform was implemented in FY 1996.
 - Over the 10-year period 1996 to 2006, TANF cash assistance payments declined to about half the 1996 level.
 - Conversely, employment-related costs increased, i.e., job training, transportation and day care.
 - Overall, the GF cost for direct welfare-related assistance decreased 23 percent.
 - Budget growth occurred on the NGF side as emphasis was placed on collecting support enforcement payments for non-welfare children.
 - Support collections increased from \$162 million to \$593 million.
 - State became collection agent for custodial parent, serving as “pass-through” for funds.

Appendix - Details of Major Policy Changes

- Car Tax Relief
 - The 1998 General Assembly approved a phased assumption of local car tax bills on behalf of local taxpayers.
 - First \$20,000 in vehicle value for personal use vehicles
 - Initial state appropriation was \$164.9 million in FY 99
 - Phased reimbursement was to move from 12.5 percent to 100 percent in five increments.
 - Reimbursement was frozen at 70 percent of bill in early 90's due to economic recession.
 - Appropriation was capped at \$950 million per year in 2004 session, as part of tax reform package.
 - FY 2006 appropriation of \$737.2 million reflected a shift in payment dates and was the transition year between the original and new capped program.

Appendix - Details of Major Policy Changes

- K-3 Education Reform
 - Several efforts were undertaken in mid-to late 90's to address perceived disparity in educational opportunity:
 - Virginia Preschool Initiative for At-Risk Four-Year-Olds: State funding for at-risk children not served by Head Start or other pre-school programs.
 - Early Intervention Reading: Early diagnosis and remedial help for students with reading problems.
 - School construction: Per pupil grants for capital improvements.
 - K-3 Class Size Reduction: Reduced class size in the early years, with special emphasis on schools with high concentrations of low-income students.
 - SOL Algebra Readiness: Math intervention in grades 6 through 8, based on diagnostic test results.

Appendix - Details of Major Policy Changes

- Lottery profits shared with localities
 - Lottery profits were specifically allocated to public education in 1999 session (applied toward state match of SOQ).
 - Constitutional amendment ratified November 2000, to be effective July 1, 2001.
 - Subsequent action by General Assembly sent 40 percent of lottery profits directly to localities for public education, with at least half to be used for capital purposes.
 - FY 2006 amount of \$160.4 million was distributed to localities based on the school division's proportion of enrollment, weighted by composite index.
 - Resulted in GF increase to replace this amount that previously had been applied toward the state share of SOQ cost.

Appendix - Details of Major Policy Changes

- 2004 Tax Reform
 - Tax relief for Virginia families
 - Raised personal exemption
 - Eliminated “marriage penalty” in standard deduction
 - Raised filing threshold
 - Removed “cliff effect” of low-income tax credit
 - Reduced sales tax on food by 1 ½ percent
 - Tax equity
 - Applied means test to age deduction
 - Eliminated corporate tax loopholes and public service sales tax exemption.

Appendix - Details of Major Policy Changes

- 2004 Tax Reform
 - ½ percent increase in non-food sales tax
 - Half dedicated to K-12 Local Real Estate Tax Relief Fund to reduce pressure on local property taxes
 - Increased recordation tax 10 cents/\$100 value
 - Tobacco products user tax increase dedicated to Virginia Health Care Fund for Medicaid match

Appendix - Details of Major Policy Changes

- 2004 Tax Reform
 - Produced roughly \$500 million/year GF (FY 2006)
 - SOQ revisions: Funded four of eight SOQ revisions proposed by Board of Education (\$220.3 million);
 - JLARC recommendations: Corrected K-12 funding formula error and ended one-month rollover of fringe benefit costs (\$44.3 million)
 - Expand funding for At-Risk 4's Preschool from 60 percent of those not served by Head Start to 100 percent (\$30.3 million)
 - First step of Higher Education base adequacy (\$122.1 million)
 - Reduce MR waiting list for community services: 700 waiver slots (\$35.0 million)
 - Chesapeake Bay wastewater construction initiative (\$50.0 million)
 - State Police radio system debt service (\$10.1 million)
 - Produced roughly \$200 million/year NGF from tobacco tax increases for Virginia Health Care Fund